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5. STUDY DESCRIPTION

**Brief Summary (using lay language):**

This study evaluates the necessity of dural tenting sutures in craniotomies. The sutures elevate the dura, a layer between the brain and skull. Supposedly, by doing so, they prevent blood collecting between dura mater and the skull. These blood collections, called epidural hematomas, contributed greatly to postoperative mortality in the early days of neurosurgery. There have been several reports questioning the ongoing need for them in neurosurgery, thanks to modern hemostatic techniques. Moreover, it has been published in the literature, and is a common knowledge as well, that some neurosurgeons do not use these sutures at all, and do not have worse outcomes than their colleagues.

In this study, half of the randomly assigned participants will undergo craniotomy without dural tenting sutures and will be considered an intervention group. The other half will undergo craniotomy with these sutures.

**Detailed Description:**

In the early days of neurosurgery, epidural hemorrhages (EDH) contributed to a high mortality rate after craniotomies. Almost a century ago Walter Dandy reported dural tenting sutures as an effective way of preventing postoperative EDH. Over time, his technique gained in popularity and significance to finally become a neurosurgical standard.

Yet, there have been several retrospective reports questioning the ongoing need for dural tenting sutures. Dandy's explanation that the hemostasis under hypotensive conditions is deceiving and eventually causes EDH may be obsolete. These days, proper intra- and postoperative care, including maintenance of normovolemia and normotension and the use of modern hemostatic agents, may be enough for effective hemostasis. Evading of this suturing technique by some surgeons supports this argument even further.

Thus, there is a fundamental need to evaluate the necessity of dural tenting sutures in an unbiased, evidence-based manner.
6. CONDITIONS AND KEYWORDS

Primary Disease or Condition Being Studied in the Trial, or the Focus of the Study:

1. Epidural Hematoma

Keywords:

1. Craniotomy
2. Dural Tenting Suture
3. Epidural Hematoma

7. STUDY DESIGN (INTERVENTIONAL)

Primary purpose:

Prevention

Study Phase:

N/A

Interventional Study Model:

Parallel

Model Description:

We plan to include 2000 subjects in this study. Each subject will undergo a craniotomy for unrelated pathology. Each of the subjects will be assigned in random order to an intervention or control group. The intervention group will not have dural tenting sutures during closure of their craniotomy while the control group will have at least three.

Both groups will be followed radiologically and clinically, in the exact same manner.

Number of Arms:

2

Masking Roles, if Masking:

Participant
Investigator
Outcomes Assessor

Masking Description:

Due to the nature of the surgical procedures, the surgeon and the rest of the OR medical team will be aware of the current subject’s allocation. However, in each case, the specific OR team aware of the subject’s allocation will be different from the investigators performing further evaluation of the given subject. The following study procedures will be in place to ensure double-blind administration of the study.

- Access to the randomization code will be strictly controlled.
- The surgeon will receive information on subject’s allocation after commencing the surgery.

The study blind will be broken:

1. During interim monitoring, after recruiting the first 100 patients.
2. On completion of the clinical study and after the study database has been locked.
3. When patients’ safety requires access to allocation data.

Allocation:
Randomized

Enrollment Type:
Anticipated

Number of Participants: 2,000

### 8. ARMS, GROUPS, AND INTERVENTIONS

#### Arm 1:

**Arm Type:**

No intervention

**Arm Title:**

No dural tenting sutures

**Arm Description:**

No dural tenting techniques

#### Arm 2:

**Arm Type:**

Active Comparator

**Arm Title:**

Dural tenting sutures

**Arm Description:**

Dural tenting techniques

#### Intervention 1:

**Intervention Type:**

Procedure/Surgery

**Intervention Name**

No dural tenting techniques

**Other Intervention Name 1:**

No tack-up sutures

**Other Intervention Name 2:**

No hitch-up stitches
**Intervention Description:**

**Intervention 1:** Not applying dural tenting sutures during closure of a craniotomy

**Intervention Type:**

**Procedure/Surgery**

**Intervention Name**

Dural tenting techniques

**Other Intervention Name 1:**

No tack-up sutures

**Other Intervention Name 2:**

No hitch-up stitches

**Intervention Description:**

Applying at least 3 dural tenting sutures during closure of a craniotomy in a usual way

**Arm/Interventional Cross-Reference**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arm/Interventional Cross-Reference</th>
<th>No dural tenting techniques</th>
<th>Dural tenting techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental, no dural tenting sutures</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active comparator, dural tenting sutures</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**9. OUTCOME MEASURES**

**Outcome 1:**

**Primary Outcome Measure:**

**Title:**

Reoperation due to epidural hematoma

**Description:**

**Percentage**

**Time Frame:**

During hospitalization for the surgery, approximately 2 days postoperatively

**Outcome 2:**

**Secondary Outcome Measure:**

**Title:**

Postoperative 30-day mortality

**Description:**

**Percentage**

**Time Frame:**

30-day postoperatively
Outcome 3:
Secondary Outcome Measure:
Title:
Postoperative 30-day readmission to a neurosurgical or neurological department
Description:
Percentage
Time Frame:
30-day postoperatively

Outcome 4:
Secondary Outcome Measure:
Title:
New neurologic deficit or deterioration of a previous one
Description:
Specific description of a neurologic deficit
Time Frame:
During hospitalization, during hospitalisation, as evaluated 5–7 days postoperatively, or earlier if the patient is discharged before the fifth postsurgical day.

Outcome 5:
Secondary Outcome Measure:
Title:
Cerebrospinal fluid leak requiring treatment
Description:
Percentage
Time Frame:
During hospitalization, during hospitalisation, as evaluated 5–7 days postoperatively, or earlier if the patient is discharged before the fifth postsurgical day.

Outcome 6:
Secondary Outcome Measure:
Title:
Deterioration of postoperative headaches over 5 in Numerical Rating Scale
Description:
The Numeric Rating Scale is an 11-point scale for patient self-reporting of pain. It ranges from 0 (no pain) to 10 (the worst imaginable pain). There are no subscales. Higher values indicate more pain and, therefore, represent undesirable outcome.
Time Frame:
During hospitalization, during hospitalisation, as evaluated 5–7 days postoperatively, or earlier if
the patient is discharged before the fifth postsurgical day.

Outcome 7:
Secondary Outcome Measure:
Title:
Epidural collection thickness over 3 mm measured radiographically
Description:
The thickness of the epidural collection measured in a postoperative CT scan
Time Frame:
During hospitalization, approximately 1-3 days postoperatively

Outcome 8:
Secondary Outcome Measure:
Title:
Midline shift over 5 mm
Description:
Midline shift caused by the epidural collection measured in a postoperative CT scan
Time Frame:
During hospitalization, approximately 1-3 days postoperatively

10. ELIGIBILITY

Sex/Gender:
Sex:
All
Gender-Based:
No

Age Limits:
Minimum Age:
18
Unit of Time:
Years
Maximum Age:
75
Unit of Time:
Years
Accepts Healthy Volunteers:
No

Eligibility Criteria:
### Inclusion Criteria:
- Male or female over 18 and under 75 years old
- Qualified for an elective supratentorial craniotomy with a diameter of at least 3 cm
- Glasgow Coma Scale 15 preoperatively
- Modified Rankin Scale 0, 1 or 2 preoperatively

### Exclusion Criteria:
- Coagulation abnormalities before the surgery
- Revision craniotomy
- Skull base surgery

### 11. CONTACTS, LOCATIONS, AND INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Central Contact Person:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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<td>Kunert</td>
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<tr>
<td>MD, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phone:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+48 22 599 25 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:przemyslaw.kunert@wum.edu.pl">przemyslaw.kunert@wum.edu.pl</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central Contact Backup:</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Name:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Łukasz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last Name or Official Title:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Przepiórka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Degree:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phone:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+48 22 599 25 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:przepiorka@mp.pl">przepiorka@mp.pl</a></td>
</tr>
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</table>
Overall Study Official 1:

First Name: Łukasz
Last Name or Official Title: Przepiórka
Degree: 
Organization/Affiliation: Department of Neurosurgery, Medical University of Warsaw
Official’s Role: study principal investigator

Overall Study Official 2:

First Name: Przemysław
Last Name or Official Title: Kunert
Degree: MD, PhD
Organization/Affiliation: Department of Neurosurgery, Medical University of Warsaw
Official’s Role: study principal investigator

Facility Information:

Facility Name: Department of Neurosurgery, Medical University of Warsaw
City: Warsaw
State/Province: Mazovian
ZIP/Postal Code: 02-097
Country: Poland
Individual Site Status: Enrolling by invitation
Facility contact:

First Name:
Łukasz

Last Name or Official Title:
Przepiórka

Degree:

Phone:
+48 22 599 25 75

Email:
przepiorka@mp.pl

Facility Contact Backup:

First Name:
Przemysław

Last Name:
Kunert

Degree:
MD, PhD

Phone:
+48 22 599 25 29

Email:
przemyslaw.kunert@wum.edu.pl

Facility Information

Facility Name:
Department of Neurosurgery and Oncology of Central Nervous System, Barlicki University Hospital, Medical University of Lodz

City:
Łódź

State/Province:
Łódzkie

ZIP/Postal Code:
90-153

Country:
Poland

Individual Site Status:
Enrolling by invitation

Facility contact:

First Name:
Dariusz

Last Name or Official Title:
Jaskólski

Degree:
MD, PhD

Phone:
+48 42 677 67 82

Email:

Facility Contact Backup:

First name:

Last Name:

Degree:

Phone:

Email:

Facility Information:

Facility Name:
Department of Neurosurgery, Medical University of Silesia, Regional Hospital, Sosnowiec

City:
Sosnowiec

State/Province:
Śląskie

ZIP/Postal Code:
41-200

Country:
Poland
Individual Site Status:

Enrolling by invitation

Facility contact:

First Name:
Piotr

Last Name or Official Title:
Ładziński

Degree:
MD, PhD

Phone:
+48 32 368 25 51

Email:

Facility Contact Backup:

First name:

Last Name:

Degree:

Phone:

Email:

Facility Information:

Facility Name:
Neurosurgery and Pediatric Neurosurgery Department in Lublin, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

City:
Lublin

State/Province:
Lubelskie
ZIP/Postal Code:
20-954

Country:
Poland

Individual Site Status:
Enrolling by invitation

Facility contact:

First Name:
Radosław

Last Name or Official Title:
Rola

Degree:
MD, PhD

Phone:
+48 81 724 41 76

Email:

Facility Contact Backup:

First name:
Dariusz

Last Name:
Szczepanek

Degree:
MD, PhD

Phone:

Email:
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